| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|
| | 10/665,979 | SURMA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | HIEU T. HOANG | 2452 |
| All Participants: | rticipants: Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>HIEU T. HOANG</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Michael Van Loy</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 24 September 2009 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: none | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: examiner proposed claim amendments to place aplication in condition for allowance | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand | he examiner will provide a writt e record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| /HH/ /Kenny S Lin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2452 | (Applicant/Applicant's Representa | tive Signature – if annranriate) |
| | . Trenomer spendant a representa | and appropriate) |